



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,971	02/24/2004	Chia-Wen Lin	04125-URL	4097
33804	7590 11/09/2006		EXAMINER	
LIN & ASS	OCIATES INTELLEC	RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT		
P.O. BOX 23	39 ., CA 95070-0339		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· ·	., 011 30070 0003		2621	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/786,971	LIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andy S. Rao	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI. 136(a). In no event, however, may divill apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
- '=		—· is action is non-final.		·			
3)							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	n.					
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-10 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) 3-5,11-17 and 19-23 is/are objected	to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		·· ——				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International Burea						
- S	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application				
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/04</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/786,971

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2, 6-10, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 3. Reibmann et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Reibmann").

Page 3

Reibmann discloses a fine granularity scalable encoder (Reibmann: figure 2) comprising: a base-layer encoding block including a coarse prediction loop, said coarse prediction loop having a coarse prediction output (Reibmann: column 4, lines 30-35: figure 2, element 240); an enhancement-layer encoding block including a fine prediction loop and an enhancement-layer mode selector, said fine prediction loop having a fine prediction output (Reibmann: column 4, lines 30-35; figure 2, element 241); wherein said encoder operates in a mix prediction mode when said enhancement- layer mode selector is switched to select said fine prediction output, and said encoder operates in an all-coarse prediction mode when said enhancement-layer mode selector is switched to select said coarse prediction output (Reibmann: column 4, lines 1-10), as in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Reibmann discloses that said base-layer encoding block further comprising a base-layer mode selector, wherein said encoder operates in an all-fine prediction mode when both said base-layer mode selector and said enhancement-layer mode selector are switched to select said fine prediction output (Reibmann: column 4, lines 34-39), said encoder operates in an all-coarse prediction mode when both said base-layer mode selector and said enhancement-layer mode selector are switched to select said coarse prediction output, and said encoder operates in a mix prediction mode when said base-layer mode selector is switched to select said coarse prediction output and said enhancement-layer mode selector is switched to select said fine prediction output (Reibmann: column 4, line 45-50), as in claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,971

Art Unit: 2621

Reibmann discloses a fine granularity scalable decoder (Reibmann: figure 1) comprising: a base-layer decoding block including a coarse prediction loop, said coarse prediction loop having a coarse prediction output (Reibmann: column 3, lines 25-35: figure 1, element 140); an enhancement-layer decoding block including a line prediction loop and an enhancement-layer mode selector, said fine prediction loop having a fine prediction output (Reibmann: column 3, lines 25-35; figure 1, element 141); wherein said decoder operates in a mix prediction mode when said enhancement- layer mode selector is switched to select said fine prediction output (Reibmann: column 3, lines 45-50), and said decoder operates in an all-coarse prediction mode when said enhancement-layer mode selector is switched to select said coarse prediction output (Reibmann: column 4, lines 1-10), as in claim 6.

Regarding claim 7, Reibmann discloses that said base-layer decoding block further comprising a base-layer mode selector (Reibmann: column 3, lines 55-60), wherein said decoder operates in an all-fine prediction mode when both said base-layer mode selector and said enhancement-layer mode selector are switched to select said fine prediction output (Reibmann: column 3, lines 25-30: "fine" frame memory), said decoder operates in an all-coarse prediction mode when both said base-layer mode selector and said enhancement-layer mode selector are switched to select said coarse prediction output (Reibmann: column 3, lines 25-30: "coarse" frame memory), and said decoder operates in a mix prediction mode when said base-layer mode selector is switched to select said coarse prediction output and said enhancement-layer mode selector is switched to select said fine prediction output (Reibmann: column 4, lines 1-10), as in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,971

Art Unit: 2621

Reibmann discloses an encoding method having at least two coding modes (Reibmann: figure 3), said method comprising the steps of: (a) collecting encoding parameters from each macroblock of a plurality of macroblocks of input signals (Reibmann: column 6, lines 10-35); (b) analyzing said encoding parameters to determine a coding mode for each macroblock (Reibmann: column 5, lines 25-35); and (c) encoding each macroblock according to the coding mode determined in said step (Reibmann: column 4, lines 30-40), as in claim 8.

Regarding claim 9, Reibmann discloses wherein said plurality of macroblocks are classified in said step (b) into at least two coding groups and each macroblock in a coding group is assigned with a same coding mode (Reibmann: column 7, lines 30-60), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 10, Reibmann discloses wherein said encoding method has an all-coarse prediction mode, an all-fine prediction mode, and a mix prediction mode, and said plurality of macroblocks are classified in said step (b) into an all-coarse prediction group in which each macroblock is assigned with said all-coarse prediction mode (Reibmann: column 3, lines 25-30: "coarse" frame memory), an all-fine prediction group in which each macroblock is assigned with said all-fine prediction mode (Reibmann: column 3, lines 25-30: "fine" frame memory) and a mix prediction group in which each macroblock is assigned with said mix prediction mode (Reibmann: column 4, lines 1-10), as in the claim.

Reibmann discloses method for truncating bit-planes in an enhancement layer of a group of pictures for allocating bits sent to a client channel (Reibmann: figure 3), comprising the steps of: (a) performing low-rate bit truncation if total bits available for allocation for said enhancement layer are less than or equal to total number of enhancement-layer bits in all I/P-frames in said group of pictures used for fine prediction (Reibmann: column 5, lines 60-67); (b)

Application/Control Number: 10/786,971 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

performing medium-rate bit truncation if total bits available for allocation for said enhancement layer are less than or equal to total number of enhancement-layer bits in said group of pictures used for fine prediction but greater than total number of enhancement-layer bits in all I/P-frames in said group of pictures used for fine prediction (Reibmann: column 6, lines 1-10); and (c) performing high-rate bit truncation if total bits available for allocation for said enhancement layer are greater than total number of enhancement-layer bits in said group of pictures used for fine prediction (Reibmann: column 6, lines 30-67), as in claim 18.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 1 and any intervening claim 2.
- 5. Claims 11-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 8.
- 6. Claims 19-22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if claims 19 and 23 are rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 18.
- 7. If rejected claims 1-2, 6-10, and 18 are canceled, and if claims 3-5, 11-17, and 19-23 are amended as indicated above, the application would be placed in a condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wu discloses seamless splitting of scalable video bitstreams.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (571)-272-7337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

asr November 7, 2006

ANDY AAO

MISY EXAMINER